VZCZCXRO4003
RR RUEHAG RUEHBC RUEHDE RUEHDIR RUEHKUK RUEHROV
DE RUEHTC #0553 1781608
ZNY CCCCC ZH
R 261608Z JUN 08
FM AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE
TO UEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC 1675
INFO RUCNMEM/EU MEMBERSTATES COLLECTIVE
RUCNIRA/IRAN COLLECTIVE
RUEHUNV/USMISSION UNVIE VIENNA0162
RUCNDT/USMISSION USUN NEW YORK 4460

CONFIDENTIAL THE HAGUE 000553

SIPDIS

E.O. 12958: DECL: 06/23/2018
TAGS: PREL KNNPMNUC PARM IR NL

SUBJECT: NETHERLANDS/IRAN: SUPORT FOR ROBUST RESPONSE

REF: A. SECSTATE 6453 ¶B. THE HAGUE 355

Classified By: Politicl Counselor Andrew C. Mann for reasons 1.5(b,d)

- 11. (C) SUMMARY: On June 24, poloff reviewed developments in Iran policy with Dutch MFA officials Lek ten Hagen, Iran desk officer, Huib Mijnarends, Senior Policy Officer in the Terrorism and New Treats Unit, and Ceta Noland, Senior Advisor for uclear Affairs and Nonproliferation. The Dutch continue to support a robust stance toward Iran athome, the EU, the IAEA, and the UN. The Dutch wecomed the June 20 briefing for the EU by NEA/IR cting director Dibble. END SUMMARY.
- 12. (C) Ntherlands: Noland stated that FM Verhagen had aproved a new visa policy for Iranian students to prvent access to nuclear weapons technology the olicy will be publicized within 10 days. The aproved regulations include list of secured faciliies off limits to masters—level students from Irn, plus an extensive list of prohibited areas ofstudy for masters—level students from Iran. (Note This policy goes beyond the earlier draft regultion that was reported in reftel B. End Note.)
- 13. (C) EU / IAEA / UN: Mijnarends stated that theDutch want the EU needs to start the debate on fll implementation of UNSC resolution 1803, and tht the Dutch support a robust implementation. oland indicated that on the IAEA, the Dutch are isappointed the Board of Governors did not respondto the latest IAEA report. The Dutch hope for aresolution at the next Board meeting in September Regarding the UN, Mijnarends said that the Duth support a UNSC debate on Iran's response to the P5 1 package after the 30-day deadline proposed by Dibble during his June 20 briefing. More generally, Noland cited continuing efforts by Iran to access weapons technology as well as the long period of international effort to engage Iran. Mijnarends suggested that 30 days should be the maximum time allowed for Iran to respond. Ten Hagen expected Iran to respond in a typical fashion with efforts to delay and divide the allied response. FM Verhagen would like to see a swift and positive response. Gallagher